already available in private institutions, there is no purpose in Government also giving similar training.

Mr. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR.—Is it a fact that the Mechanical Engineering School is going to be amalgamated with the Engineering College and the combined institution handed over to the University ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—The question is under consideration.

Mr. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR.—Before giving effect to the scheme, will the Government be pleased to ascertain the opinion of the Assembly in the matter ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Government note your suggestion.

Mr. D. VENKATARAMANAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased also to consider the question of providing seats for all pupils belonging to backward communities, at least after increasing the accommodation in the school or combined institution.

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—That suggestion also will be considered.

Sub-Registry Office at Malvalli.

Q.—7. Mr. PUTTASWAMI GOWDA (Malvalli Taluk).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when was it ordered that the documents executed in the villages of Kirgaval Hobli of Malvalli Taluk should be registered at Bannur ;

(*b*) to which hobli do the villages of Ragi Bommanahalli and Muganur belong ; what is their distance from Bannur and Malvalli ; to what taluk does Bannur belong ;

(*c*) how many villages are included in the Kirgaval Hobli (names of villages in detail to be furnished) ;

(*d*) how far are Malvalli and Bannur from each of these villages (details of distance to be furnished for each village) ;

(*e*) are Government aware that people of some of these villages are undergoing hardship in having to go to Bannur to have their documents registered there ?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).—

(*a*) *Vide* Notification No. Fl. 8983—Regis. 15-28-11, dated 6th June 1929 (Appendix).

(*b*) They belong to Kirgaval Hobli. Their distance from Bannur is 12 and 15 miles and from Malvalli 2½ and 1 mile respectively. Bannur belongs to T.-Narsipur Taluk.

(*c*) Forty-five villages ; *vide* list annexed (Appendix).

(*d*) *Vide* list (Appendix).

(*e*) Yes.

Mr. PUTTASWAMY GOWDA.—Are not the villages of the Kirgaval Hobli very near to Malvalli and far distant from Bannur ? Is it not a fact that people are experiencing a lot of difficulties in having to get documents registered in the more distant place ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—The distances in each case were not stated earlier. Now that information relating to this is before them. Government are considering whether the jurisdiction over a part of the hobli may not be re-transferred to the Malvalli Sub-Registry Office.

Mr. PUTTASWAMY GOWDA.—Will the Government be pleased to expedite action in that behalf ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Yes.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Had not the Deputy Commissioner reported at the very outset to the Inspector-General of Registration that the proposal would lead to difficulties ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—When the transfer of jurisdiction was ordered, it was the hobli as a whole that came up for consideration. The distance from each and every village in the hobli was not noted. A Sub-Registry Office was opened at Bannur and in order that it might have enough work, certain jurisdiction was given to it. But it is now seen that that arrangement has resulted in inconvenience to certain villages. Necessary action will therefore be taken to transfer those villages back to the jurisdiction of Malvalli.

Mr. PUTTASWAMY GOWDA.—When will action be taken as promised ?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—Within a month, if possible.

Difficulties of Sugar-cane Growers in Maddur Taluk.

Q.—8. Mr. H. K. VEERANNA GOWDA (Maddur Taluk).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the raiyats growing sugar-cane in the Maddur Taluk have submitted resolutions passed at meetings held by them, from time to time during the past three years, with regard to the difficulties they are experiencing;

(*b*) and whether they have given any reply either accepting or rejecting their prayers ?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The resolutions were referred to the Sugar Company and the Departments concerned for taking such action as might be feasible.

Mr. H. K. VEERANNA GOWDA.—No definite answer has been given to question (*b*). Why is it so ?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—It is not possible to do so because the subject-matter of the resolutions passed pertains to the Sugar Company over which the Government have not the same control as they have over the commercial concerns run by Government.

Failure of Crops in Chamarajanagar Taluk.

Q.—9. Messrs. M. S. BASAVANNA DEVARU (Yelandur Jahgir); N. BHOGI MALLAIYA (Heggaddevankote Taluk); S. K. SIDDE GOWDA and S. THIMME GOWDA *alias* THAMMAIYA GOWDA (Krishnarajapet Taluk); B. SIDDALINGA SETTY (Krishnarajanagar Taluk); K. N. PUTTANNA, SIDDAVEERAPPA and GURUBASAPPA (Chamarajanagar Taluk); M. MAYI GOWDA (T.-Narsipur Taluk); U. L. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Mysore City Municipality); G. MALLANNA (Mysore Taluk); K. C. SUBBANNA (Gundlupet Taluk); S. C. MALLIAH (Maddur Taluk); M. SUBBIAH (Seringapatam Municipality); B. CHAMAIYA and M. SIVANANJE GOWDA (Seringapatam Taluk); K. CHIKKALINGIAH and J. DEVAIYA (Mandya Taluk) and T. MARIYAPPA (Nagamangala Taluk).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) what are the chief tanks in the Chamarajnagar Taluk that are fed by the River Suvarnavathi;

(*b*) has it come to the notice of Government that, as a result of many obstructions to the supply of water to these tanks, there has been a failure of crops;

(*c*) is it a fact that the loss of crops under the tanks—Chikkakere of Ramasamudra, Doddakere and Sindakere—has been brought to the notice of the Government by the taluk authorities and the raiyats;

(*d*) are Government aware that these tanks are the only source of living to the raiyats of this area ?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesava Iengar).—

(*a*) (1) Ramasamudra Doddakere.
(2) Ramasamudra Chikkakere.
(3) Sindakere.
(4) Kodimole tank.
(5) Somma tank.
(6) Saragur tank.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) The raiyats under these tanks applied for grant of remission, but there was sufficient water in the tanks to raise crops and as the raiyats did not make any attempts to feed the tanks with water from Hongalavadi channel taken from the river in spite of facilities given by the Public Works Department and Revenue authorities, no remission was recommended. The average yield of crop under the tanks was between 6 annas and 8 annas.

(*d*) The question is not clear.

Mr. M. S. BASAVANNA DEVARU.—With reference to answer to (*b*), is it not a fact that many raiyats have brought all their difficulties to the notice of the Executive Engineer, the Deputy Commissioner and the Government ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—But obstruction to the supply of water was not the cause of their difficulties.